

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD KOUNTZE, et al.,

Petitioners,

No. C 12-5079 PJH

v.

**ORDER RE CHAMBERS COPIES**

CHARLES KOUNTZE, et al.,

Respondents.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the chambers copy of respondents' motion for Rule 11 sanctions was submitted in a format that is not usable by the court.

The chambers copy is not usable because it

- ☐ consists of a stack of loose paper wrapped with a rubber band;
- ☒ consists of a stack of loose paper fastened with a binder clip or a paper clip;
- ☐ is too thick to permit secure fastening with a staple, and is fastened with a brad-type fastener that is too short for the thickness of the document;
- ☒ has no tabs for the voluminous exhibits;
- ☐ includes exhibits that are illegible;
- ☐ includes exhibits that are unreadable because the print is too small;
- ☐ includes text and/or footnotes in a font smaller than 12 point;
- ☐ includes portions or exhibits that are redacted because the submitting party has requested leave to file those portions under seal; or
- ☒ is not usable for another reason – It appears to include numerous

1 declarations with attached exhibits, but none of the declarations are  
2 separately fastened. The court is unable to make use of a thick multi-part  
3 document which will fall apart once the binder clip is removed.

4 The paper used for the above-described chambers copy has been recycled by the  
5 court. No later than November 30, 2012, respondent shall submit a chambers copy in a  
6 format that is usable by the court.

7  
8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9 Dated: November 26, 2012



10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28